



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,489	08/01/2001	Fred S. Cook	1487	7107
28004	7590	07/13/2006	EXAMINER	
SPRINT 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100				PEACHES, RANDY
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/920,489	COOK, FRED S.
	Examiner Randy Peaches	Art Unit 2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 January 2006.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-21 and 23-32 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-21 and 23-32 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. ***Claims 1, 3, 5 and 24-26*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) in view of Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1).

Regarding ***claim 1***, Connolly et al discloses a method of operation with a service control point (SCP), the method comprising:

- receiving an AIN Information Analyzed message, which reads on claimed "call set-up message", from a Personal Communication System (PCS) Switching System – (PSC) into the said SCP via a PCS Switching Center (PSC) for an incoming call. Reference FIGURE 11, column 31 line 6-9, lines 58-61, respectively.
- processing the said AIN Information Analyzed to authenticate, which reads on claimed "identify", calling party or originating device (portable handset terminal), which reads on claimed "first device," hereinafter referenced first device. See columns 31 lines 62-68 and column 32 lines 10-16;

- transmitting the said AIN Route Analyzed message, which reads on claimed "routing instruction", from the said SCP. See column 31 lines 43-51.

However, Connolly fails to clearly disclose wherein the said first device re-routes the incoming message to a second device.

Diebolt et al. teaches in paragraph [0017 – 0019] wherein the calling party is able to send a process command, which reads on claimed "alert message," that re-directs the incoming call to either a fax machine or printer, which reads on claimed "second device." The Applicant details in an example in the January 19, 2006, brief that

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) to include Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in order to provide a system capable of identifying an incoming call and redirecting a call to other device for further processing.

Regarding **claim 24**, Connolly et al discloses a method of operating a portable hand-set terminal, which reads on claimed, "first device is a wireless device", the method comprising:

- transmitting the a Page Response message from the said portable hand-set terminal to the Personal Communication System 2 (PCS2) then further to the said SCP, as taught in column 33 lines 10-23.

However, Connolly et al. fails to clearly disclose wherein the process of sending an alert message to a said second device.

Diebolt et al. teach of processing the said process command. See paragraph [0017];

- determining the incoming call should be directed to a second device. See paragraph [0017 and 0019];
- generating a response message indicating that the second device is receiving the incoming message. See paragraph [0019-0021].
- transmitting the said message from the said wireless device to the said PBX. See paragraph [0017-0018].

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) to include Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in order to provide a system capable of identifying an incoming call and redirecting a call to other device for further processing.

Regarding **claims 3 and 25**, as the combination of Connolly and Diebolt are made, the combination as claimed in **claims 2 and 24**, Connolly et al further teaches wherein the first device comprises a portable handset terminal. See Abstract and column 7 lines 53-57, FIGURE 11 and column 31 lines 4-9.

Regarding **claims 5 and 26**, as the combination of Connolly and Diebolt are made, the combination as claimed in **claims 1 and 24**, Connolly et al further discloses wherein the said AIN Route Analyzed message (announcement), comprises a Redirecting Party ID, which reads on claimed "called party number". See column 32, line 6.

2. **Claim 4** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) and Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in view of Koster (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111).

Regarding **claim 4**, as the combination of Connolly and Diebolt are made, the combination as claimed in **claim 1**, the combination fails to disclose wherein the call set-up message comprises a Transaction Capabilities Application Part query.

Koster teaches in columns 2 and 3 lines 41-67 lines 1-46, respectively, of a Transaction Capabilities Application Part message utilized as signaling transport medium containing instructions detrimental in a said AIN for call-set up purposes.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al and Diebolt et al. to include Koster (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111) in order provide a signaling means for the establishment of a call.

3. **Claim 6** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) and Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in view of Serbetcioglu et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111).

Regarding **claim 6**, as the combination of Connolly and Diebolt are made, the combination as claimed in **claim 1**, the combination fails to disclose determining whether the incoming call is to be intercepted for a called party.

Serbetcioglu et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111) teaches in column 3 lines 16-21, of a feature server capable of intercepting an incoming call for a called subscriber and prompt the subscriber to speak his or her name or punch in a pin number.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al and Diebolt et al. to include Serbetcioglu et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111) in order to provide a means to intercept an incoming call for authorization purposes. In addition, in certain cases where the incoming call is subject to be a telefax or modem, the respected call will be directed accordingly.

4. **Claim 7** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) and Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in view of Poole et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,590,965 B1).

Regarding **claim 7**, as the combination of Connolly and Diebolt are made, the combination as claimed in **claim 1**, the combination fails to disclose of the generation of a session for an incoming call with a session identifier.

Poole et al teaches in column 12 lines 18-31, of a session identifier and how it is used to identify the initiation of an incoming call.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al and Diebolt et al. to include Poole et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,590,965 B1) in order to identify the calling party's incoming call during the establishment of a call sequence.

5. **Claims 8, 10, 12, 15, 17, 19 and 23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) and Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in view of Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1).

Regarding **claim 8**, Connolly et al discloses a method of operation with a service control point (SCP), the method comprising:

- receiving an AIN Information Analyzed message, which reads on claimed "call set-up message", from a Personal Communication System (PCS) Switching System – (PSC) into the said SCP via a PCS Switching Center (PSC) for an incoming call. Reference FIGURE 11, column 31 line 6-9, lines 58-61, respectively.
- processing the said AIN Information Analyzed to authenticate, which reads on claimed "identify", calling party or originating device (portable handset terminal),

which reads on claimed "first device," hereinafter referenced first device. See columns 31 lines 62-68 and column 32 lines 10-16;

- transmitting the said AIN Route Analyzed message, which reads on claimed "routing instruction", from the said SCP. See column 31 lines 43-51.

However, Connolly fails to clearly disclose wherein the said first device re-routes the incoming message to a second device.

Diebolt et al. teaches in paragraph [0017 – 0019] wherein the calling party is able to send a process command, which reads on claimed "alert message," that re-directs the incoming call to either a fax machine or printer, which reads on claimed "second device." The Applicant details in an example in the January 19, 2006, brief that

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) to include Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in order to provide a system capable of identifying an incoming call and redirecting a call to other device for further processing.

However, the combination fails to disclose a processor that executes the said functions when a call is received at the SCP. In addition, the combination fails to disclose an interface connected to a processor.

Torba et discloses in column 12 lines 7-16, of a Service Control Point (SCP, 123) whose functionality is enhanced by a CTI processor (119). Torba et al further teaches that the said processor (119), in turn, enhances the functionality of the said SCP (123) by virtue of software provided by a host computer, which reads on claimed "storage

medium operational to store the said software". Torba et al further teaches and represents a coupled interface between the said SCP (123) and CTI processor (119) in FIGURE 5.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al and Diebolt et al. to include Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) in order to incorporate a software and processor, to execute the functions desired by the said SCP, into the architecture of the said SCP.

Regarding **claim 15**, Connolly et al discloses a method of operation with a service control point (SCP), the method comprising:

- receiving an AIN Information Analyzed message, which reads on claimed "call set-up message", from a Personal Communication System (PCS) Switching System – (PSC) into the said SCP via a PCS Switching Center (PSC) for an incoming call. Reference FIGURE 11, column 31 line 6-9, lines 58-61, respectively.
- processing the said AIN Information Analyzed to authenticate, which reads on claimed "identify", calling party or originating device (portable handset terminal), which reads on claimed "first device," hereinafter referenced first device. See columns 31 lines 62-68 and column 32 lines 10-16;
- transmitting the said AIN Route Analyzed message, which reads on claimed "routing instruction", from the said SCP. See column 31 lines 43-51.

However, Connolly fails to clearly disclose wherein the said first device re-routes the incoming message to a second device.

Diebolt et al. teaches in paragraph [0017 – 0019] wherein the calling party is able to send a process command, which reads on claimed "alert message," that re-directs the incoming call to either a fax machine or printer, which reads on claimed "second device." The Applicant details in an example in the January 19, 2006, brief that

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) to include Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in order to provide a system capable of identifying an incoming call and redirecting a call to other device for further processing.

However, the combination fails to clearly disclose a SCP interface connected to the processor that executes the said functions when a call is received at the SCP.

Torba et al teaches by disclosing an interface, represented between the said CTI processor (119) and the said SCP (123), operable as a transmission medium for processed messages performed by the said CTI processor (119). See FIGURE 5.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al and Diebolt et al. to include Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) in order to incorporate a said SCP interface, to execute the desired function of transmitting a call information to the respected said portable hand-set terminal, into the architecture of the said SCP.

Regarding **claims 10 and 17**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) and Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) are made, the combination according to **claims 9 and 16**, Connolly et al further teaches wherein first device comprises a portable handset terminal. See Abstract and column 7 lines 53-57, FIGURE 11 and column 31 lines 4-9.

Regarding **claims 12 and 19**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) and Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) are made, the combination according to **claims 8 and 15**, Connolly et al further discloses wherein the said AIN Route Analyzed message (announcement), comprises a Redirecting Party ID, which reads on claimed "called party number". See column 32, line 6.

Regarding **claim 23**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) and Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 15**, Torba et al teaches in FIGURE 5, that a switch (127) is connected to the said SCP and configured to route incoming calls with the called party, which reads on claimed "second device." See column 12 lines 36-49.

6. **Claims 11 and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1), Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) and in further view of Koster (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111).

Regarding **claims 11 and 18**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. and Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) are made, the combination according to **claims 8 and 15**, fail to disclose wherein the call set-up message comprises a Transaction Capabilities Application Part query.

Koster teaches in columns 2 and 3 lines 41-67 lines 1-46, respectively, of a Transaction Capabilities Application Part message utilized as signaling transport medium containing instructions detrimental in a said AIN for call-set up purposes.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1), Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) to further included Koster (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111) in order provide a signaling means for the establishment of a call.

7. **Claims 13 and 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1), Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) and in further view of Serbetcioglu et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111).

Regarding **claims 13 and 20**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. and Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) are made, the combination according to **claims 8 and 15**, fail to disclose determining whether the incoming call is to be intercepted for a called party.

Serbetcioglu et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111) teaches in column 3 lines 16-21, of a feature server capable of intercepting an incoming call for a called subscriber and prompt the subscriber to speak his or her name or punch in a pin number.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1), Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) to include Serbetcioglu et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,511,111) in order to provide a means to intercept an incoming call for authorization purposes. In addition, in certain cases where the incoming call is subject to be a telefax or modem, the respected call will be directed accordingly.

8. **Claims 14 and 21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1), Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) and in further view Poole et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,590,965 B1).

Regarding **claims 14 and 21**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. and Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) are made, the combination according to **claims 8 and 15**, fail to disclose of the generation of a session for an incoming call with a session identifier.

Poole et al teaches in column 12 lines 18-31, of a session identifier and how it is used to identify the initiation of an incoming call.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1), Torba et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,563,788 B1) to include Poole et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,590,965 B1) in order to allow the processor the capability to identify the calling party's incoming call during the establishment of a call sequence.

9. **Claims 27-29** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) in view of Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in view of Criss et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,643,506 B1).

Regarding **claim 27**, Connolly et al discloses a method of operating a portable hand-set terminal, which reads on claimed, "first device is a wireless device", the method comprising:

- transmitting the a Page Response message from the said portable hand-set terminal to the Personal Communication System 2 (PCS2) then further to the said SCP, as taught in column 33 lines 10-23.

However, Connolly et al. fails to clearly disclose wherein the process of sending an alert message to a said second device.

Diebolt et al. teach of processing the said process command. See paragraph [0017];

- determining the incoming call should be directed to a second device. See paragraph [0017 and 0019];
- generating a response message indicating that the second device is receiving the incoming message. See paragraph [0019-0021].
- transmitting the said message from the said wireless device to the said PBX. See paragraph [0017-0018].

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) to include Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in order to provide a system capable of identifying an incoming call and redirecting a call to other device for further processing.

Criss et al teaches in column 8 lines 3-37 and in FIGURE 2, of an operating system stored in the memory (50), which reads on claimed "software storage medium" and is executed by the processor (40). The processor (40) can be programmed to control and to operate the various components of the mobile terminal, which reads on claimed "wireless communication device".

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) and Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) to include Criss et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,643,506 B1) in order to identify the software and processing means incorporated within the said portable handset terminal to execute the desired functions to establish a call.

Regarding **claim 28**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) Diebolt et al. and Criss et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,643,506 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 27**, Connolly et al further teaches wherein the wireless communication device comprises a radio cell portable handset terminal, essentially representing a phone, which reads on claimed "cellular phone, pager, or a personal digital assistant". See Abstract and column 7 lines 53-57.

Regarding **claim 29**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) Diebolt et al. and Criss et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,643,506 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 27**, Connolly et al further discloses wherein the said AIN Route Analyzed message (announcement), comprises a Redirecting Party ID, which reads on claimed "called party number". See column 32, line 6.

10. **Claims 30-32** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419), Diebolt et al. (U.S.

Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) in view of Janow (U.S. Patent Number 6,061,570 B1).

Regarding **claim 30**, Connolly et al discloses a method of operating a portable hand-set terminal, which reads on claimed, "first device is a wireless device", the method comprising:

- transmitting the a Page Response message from the said portable hand-set terminal to the Personal Communication System 2 (PCS2) then further to the said SCP, as taught in column 33 lines 10-23.

However, Connolly et al. fails to clearly disclose wherein the process of sending an alert message to a said second device.

Diebolt et al. teach of processing the said process command. See paragraph [0017];

- determining the incoming call should be directed to a second device. See paragraph [0017 and 0019];
- generating a response message indicating that the second device is receiving the incoming message. See paragraph [0019-0021].
- transmitting the said message from the said wireless device to the said PBX. See paragraph [0017-0018].
- Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) to include Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number

2002/0006811A1) in order to provide a system capable of identifying an incoming call and redirecting a call to other device for further processing.

However, the combination fails disclose a processor operable to receive an incoming message and transmit the said message via an interface.

Janow teaches in claim language number 15, that the processor receives signals indicating an incoming message. In addition, Janow teaches in column 4 lines 8-11, that the processor is coupled to an interface circuit operable to send and receive messages.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to the combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) Diebolt et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0006811A1) to include Janow (U.S. Patent Number 6,061,570 B1) in order to provide a processing means incorporated therein a said portable hand-set terminal operable to receive incoming messages from a coupled interface.

Regarding **claim 31**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) Diebolt et al. and Janow (U.S. Patent Number 6,061,570 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 30**, Connolly et al further teaches wherein the wireless communication device comprises a radio cell portable handset terminal, essentially representing a phone, which reads on claimed "cellular phone, pager, or a personal digital assistant". See Abstract and column 7 lines 53-57.

Regarding **claim 32**, as the above combination of Connolly et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,325,419) Diebolt et al. and Janow (U.S. Patent Number 6,061,570 B1) are made, the combination according to **claim 30**, Connolly et al further discloses wherein the said AIN Route Analyzed message (announcement), comprises a Redirecting Party ID, which reads on claimed "called party number". See column 32, line 6.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1, 3-8, 10-15, 17-21 and 23-32** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy Peaches whose telephone number is (571) 272-7914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randy Peaches  
July 5, 2006



CHARLES APPIAH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER